

I appreciate the courtesy of the gentleman, and I strongly associate myself with the comments from my colleague the gentleman from New York (Mr. Boehlert). He has it right. The ozone problems are proven.

This amendment would be a significant step backward. It is, in fact, legal and required to be done by the EPA. It would be wrong to set back this work up to 2 years while some of the legal issues are, in fact, being hashed out.

In Atlanta, failure to comply with the Clean Air Act provided much-needed catalyst for making a serious examination of the impacts of unplanned, rapid growth in its metropolitan area.

I think what is happening in Atlanta in Georgia is part of the success stories. Because the new governor had the courage and the foresight to move through a comprehensive approach they have not yet lost one dime of Federal highway money, they have been able to channel it for things that are in compliance with the plan, and they are able to move ahead and move forward.

It would be a disservice to Atlanta and to other areas of the country to not give people the best information, to not move forward as rapidly as we can, and not be ready to implement this if, as I believe it is in fact going to be the case, this is sustained by the Supreme Court.