

[VIEW CLIP](#)

I appreciate the Gentlelady's work, because the bill we have before us, as I mentioned earlier, is a substantial improvement over the one that went through the House before. I had hoped that it would come back to our committee, because I think these issues are worthy of further discussion, and I think there's more fine tuning we can do. For instance, dealing with the provisions in terms of the promotion of pro-democracy: reading the language that's in this bill, the Ayatollah Khomeini in exile in France would have qualified for U.S. assistance under this. We could have had a debacle like we had with Chalabi.

I don't think it's tight and precise as we would like. But it fails to deal with the fundamental choice we need to make between whether we want regime change or whether we want to stop nuclear proliferation. I deeply appreciate the points raised by my colleague from Iowa, Mr. Leach. We could end up actually making this situation worse. I am deeply troubled that we are going to ratchet up the pressure on the very people who are most in need of a diplomatic solution, the people like China and Russia who are going to be key to ultimately resolving it.

Mr. Speaker, part of the problem that we'd have great difficulty with is some of the most disagreeable people, some of the most dangerous people, are people we ignore at our peril. We should not do that. We should engage them directly, diplomatically and not under the auspices of this bill, which I hope the House will reject.